



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/736,688                                      | 12/17/2003             | Piero Del Soldato    | 026220-00043            | 4141             |
| 4372  | 7590 01/06/2006        |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| ARENT FOX PLLC<br>1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. |                        |                      | SACKEY, EBENEZER O      |                  |
| SUITE 400                                       | 201100111121102,111111 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036                            |                        |                      | 1626                    |                  |
|   |                        |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/736,688  | SOLDATO, PIERO DEL   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EBENEZER SACKEY   | 1626   |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF TIME MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAI | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <b>憲</b>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | •  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /  | , <del> _</del>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| - ا  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   | m panto quayio, 1000 o.b. 11, 10  | 3.0.2.0.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Claim(s) 12-36 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| · —  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| · —  | •  | alastian raquirament  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                      |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).     |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                 |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                                   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | •  | \$ a  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | No.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| _  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | A) [] Intensions Comments   | (PTO 412)  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Inform  | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date   |   | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Status of Claims**

Claims 1-11 have been cancelled.

Claims 12-36 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

The Markush group set forth in the claims includes both independent and distinct inventions, and patentable distinct compounds (or species) within each invention.

However, this application discloses and claims a plurality of patentable distinct inventions far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, each of these inventions contains a plurality of patentable distinct compounds, also far too numerous to list individually.

- I. Claims 12-21, are drawn to products (compounds and compositions containing compounds) of structural formula (I), (III), (IV) and (V), depicted in claim 12, classified in classes 540, 544, 546.
- II. Claims 22-36 are, drawn to methods of using compounds of structural formulae (I), (III), (IV) or (V) in treating various disease states such as asthma and allergies, classified in class 514, in various subclasses.

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Art Unit: 1626

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as shown in G.B.Patent number 2,240,271.

Under 35 U.S.C. 121 an election of a single compound is required including an exact definition of each substitution on the base molecule (structural formula (I)), wherein a single member at each substituent group or moiety is selected. For example, if a base molecule has a substituent group R1, wherein R1 is recited to be any one of H, OH, COOH, aryl, alkoxy, halogen, amino, etc., then applicants must select a single substituent of R1, for example OH or aryl and each subsequent variable position. In the instant case, upon an election of a single compound, the office will review the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the independent invention encompassing the elected compound (compounds which are so similar thereto as to be within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice). The scope of an independent invention will encompass all compounds within the scope of the claim, which falls into the same class and scope of the invention encompassing the elected compound as defined by common classification.

A clear statement of the examined invention, defined by those class(es) and subclass(es) will be set forth in the first office action on the merits. Note that the restriction requirement will not be made final until such time as applicant is informed of the full scope of compounds along with process of using said compound under examination. This will be set forth by reference to specific class(es) and subclass (es) examined. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the compounds are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now

Art Unit: 1626

of record showing the compounds to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other.

All compounds falling outside the class(es) and subclass(es) of the selected compound and any other subclass encompassed by the election above will be directed to nonelected subject matter and will be withdrawn from consideration under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b). Applicant may reserve the right to file divisional applications on the remaining subject matter. The provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 apply with regard to double patenting covering divisional applications.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventors must be amended in compliance with 37C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37CFR 1.17(i).

If desired upon election, of a single compound, applicants can review the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the invention and can set forth a group of compounds, which are so similar within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice. Markush claims must be provided with support in the disclosure for each member of the Markush group. See MPEP 608.01(p). Applicant should exercise caution in making a selection of a single disclosed member for each substituent group on the base molecule to be consistent with the written description.

Art Unit: 1626

Because of the plethora of classes and subclasses involved, a serious burden is imposed on the Examiner to perform a complete search of the defined areas.

Therefore, because of the reasons given above, the restriction set forth is proper and not to restrict would impose a serious burden in the examination of this application.

A telephone call was made to Amy E. L. Schoenhard on 12/30/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1626

number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

EOS

December 30, 2005

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1600 Technology Center 1

TAOFIQ SOLOLA PRIMARY EXAMINER